IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Norfolk Division

DEVIN COPELAND p/k/a DE RICO and MAREIO OVERTON,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

JUSTIN BIEBER, USHER RAYMOND IV p/k/a "USHER," HEATHER BRIGHT, Individually and d/b/a B-RHAKA PUBLISHING, UNIVERSAL MUSIC CORP., SONY/ATV MUSICAL PUBLISHING, LLC, WB MUSIC CORP., THE ISLAND DEF JAM MUSIC GROUP,

CASE NO. **2:13-cv-246** (AWA-TEM)

Defendants.

PLAINTIFFS' MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO SEAL

Plaintiffs Devin Copeland p/k/a DeRico and Mareio Overton ("Plaintiffs"), in accordance with Local Rule 5 and Order of the Court, file this, their Memorandum in Support of their Motion to Seal Exhibit N to the Declaration of Duncan G. Byers in Support of Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Joint Motion to Strike Portions of the Expert Report of Jason A. Deans, CPA, CVA.

BACKGROUND

Plaintiff requests that Exhibit N be filed under seal because it contains documents or information that Defendants have designated "Confidential Information" pursuant to the Stipulated and Agreed Protective Order ("Protective Order") entered by this Court on September

24, 2015. Exhibit N contains the deposition transcript of Barry Massarsky, Defendants' designated damages expert. Defendants have designated these documents as "Confidential" under the Protective Order and, which allows such a designation when "the Producing Party deems [the document] to contain a trade secret or other confidential research, development, commercial, financial or personal information, the public disclosure of which may cause the Producing Party embarrassment or competitive harm." Protective Order, §2.1.1.

ARGUMENT

A district court has supervisory power over its own records and may, in its discretion, seal documents if the public's right of access is outweighed by competing interests." *Ashcraft v. Conoco, Inc.*, 218 F.3d 288, 302 (4th Cir. 2000) (citing *In re Knight Pub. Co.*, 743 F.2d 231, 235 (4th Cir. 1984)). However, there is a presumption in favor of public access to court records. *Id.* In order to seal documents the court must "(1) provide public notice of the request to seal and allow interested parties a reasonable opportunity to object, (2) consider less drastic alternatives to sealing the documents, and (3) provide specific reasons and factual findings supporting its decision to seal the documents and for rejecting the alternatives." *Id.*; *see also Va. Dep't of State Police v. Washington Post*, 386 F.3d 567(4th Cir. 2004); *Rushford v. New Yorker Magazine Inc.*, 846 F.2d 249, 253 (4th Cir. 1988); *Campbell v. Ethex Corp.*, 464 F. Supp. 2d 559, 561 (W.D.Va. 2006).

Plaintiffs are placed in the counterintuitive position of having to submit an argument as to why Defendants' records should be held under seal and remain confidential. Plaintiffs are not admitting that the documents at issue should be considered confidential or are even relevant or admissible to the underlying matter. Plaintiff are, however, in the spirit of the Protective Order,

presenting a good-faith argument why the documents in question should be submitted and kept under seal.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs hereby respectfully request that this Honorable Court grant the Motion to Seal and seal Exhibit N in the above-referenced matter and grant such other and further relief as the Court deems appropriate.

Dated: June 16, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Duncan G. Byers

By Counsel

Duncan G. Byers, Esq.
VA State Bar No. 48146
Jeffrey D. Wilson, Esq.
VA State Bar No. 75734
Patrick L. Maurer, Esq.
VA State Bar No. 71244
Pender & Coward, P.C.
222 Central Park Ave., Suite 400
Virginia Beach, VA 23462
Telephone: 757-490-3000
Facsimile: 757-502-7392
dbyers@pendercoward.com
jwilson@pendercoward.com
pmaurer@pendercoward.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Devin Copeland and Mareio Overton

cholland@pendercoward.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on June 16, 2016, I served the foregoing document by electronic mail to the following:

Stephen E. Noona, Esq.

VA State Bar No. 25367 Kaufman & Canoles, P.C. 150 W. Main Street, Suite 2100 Norfolk, VA 23510

Telephone: 757-624-3239 Facsimile: 888-360-9092 senoona@kaufcan.com

Attorney for Defendants Justin Bieber, Universal Music Corp., Def Jam Recordings, a division of UMG Recordings, Inc. (formerly known as "The Island Def Jam Music Group") and Usher Raymond, IV, p/k/a Usher

Howard Weitzman (*pro hac vice*)
Jeremiah T. Reynolds (*pro hac vice*)
Kinsella Weitzman Iser Kump & Aldisert
808 Wilshire Blvd., 3rd Floor
Santa Monica, CA 90401
Telephone: 310-566-9800
Facsimile: 310-566-9884
hweitzman@kwikalaw.com
jreynolds@kwikalaw.com

Attorneys for Defendant Justin Bieber

Nathan Muyskens, Esq. VA State Bar No. 39168 Loeb & Loeb LLP 901 New York Avenue NW Suite 300 East Washington, DC 20001 Telephone: 202-618-5000 Facsimile: 202-618-5001 nmuyskens@loeb.com

Barry I. Slotnick (pro hac vice) Cheng L. Chen (pro hac vice) Loeb & Loeb LLP 345 Park Avenue New York, NY 10154 Telephone: 212-407-4000

Facsimile: 212-407-4990 bslotnick@loeb.com lchen@loeb.com

Attorneys for Heather Bright, B-RHAKA Publishing LLC, WB Music Corp. and Sony/ATV Music Publishing LLC

Jonathan D. Davis, Esq. (pro hac vice) Jonathan D. Davis, P.C. 10 Rockefeller Plaza, Suite 1015 New York, NY 10020 Telephone: 212-687-5464 Facsimile: 212-557-0565 jdd@jddavispc.com

Attorney for Defendant Usher Raymond, IV, p/k/a Usher

/s/ Jeffrey D. Wilson

Duncan G. Byers, Esq.
VA State Bar No. 48146
Jeffrey D. Wilson, Esq.
VA State Bar No. 75734
Patrick L. Maurer, Esq.
VA State Bar No. 71244
Pender & Coward, P.C.
222 Central Park Ave., Suite 400
Virginia Beach, VA 23462
Telephone: 757-490-3000
Facsimile: 757-502-7392
dbyers@pendercoward.com
jwilson@pendercoward.com
pmaurer@pendercoward.com
cholland@pendercoward.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Devin Copeland and Mareio Overton